

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fi	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,920	(02/25/2002	Leonard Pinchuk	BSI-507US	BSI-507US 3520	
23122	7590	05/03/2004		EXAMINER		
	RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980				PREBILIC, PAUL B	
	_	A 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	- ,			3738		

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	10/082,920	PINCHUK, LEONARD	
, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul B. Prebilic	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a timely filed amendment whit all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper relich places the applic	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .		·	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. ☐ Other:		Paul B. Prebi Primary Exam	

Application N .

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It does convince the Examiner that claim 1, step b is inherent, but not that steps c and d are inherent. In particular, the Examiner asserts that there is no original support for making the restricted means with a restricted diameter greater than the vessel diameter and also trimming the length to a length greater than the aneurysm when the stent is expanded to that maximum diameter. For these reasons, the Examiner maintains that the present claims lack original support.